

265 MIDLAND BROWNFIELD CLEANUP PROJECT

Q & A

- 1 On pages 2 and 3 of the RFQ, demolition is identified as part of the project and EPA grant budget, but from our discussions at the pre-bid, you indicated this was not the case. Please confirm that demolition is a separate item.**

Only demolition directly related to the clean up of this project is included in the Scope of Services. However if a company wants to include demolition cost that is not related to this project in a line item it will not be held against them.
- 2 We are not aware of any under ground tanks on the property, but the RFQ mentions them on page 3, page 4 (Item 5) and page 5 (Item 11). Has CVC or the property owner any new knowledge of an underground tank present on site? If not, is it envisioned that we need to look for one anyway?**

Currently, there is no evidence of underground tanks, however if the evidence of underground tanks is discovered during remediation, Brownfield funds can be used for further assessments.
- 3 On page 4 (Item 1) of the RFQ, it references "cross-cutting requirements" from the "Grant Documents". Can you provide an explanation for this or an excerpt from the documents, if it is relevant to our response and your review?**

Response TBA no later than 11/13/09.
- 4 On page 4 (Item 2) of the RFQ, it states a Human Health Risk Assessment should be conducted to develop site specific clean up standards. On page 2, it indicates that residential (unrestricted) clean up thresholds is the desired goal. This seems unnecessarily costly if residential thresholds are the desired outcome -- or is it envisioned that a risk assessment may be required in the event that residential thresholds may not be achievable?**

If it is determined that during remediation and subsequent monitoring that the cleanup **can not** meet the goal of residential threshold, then a Human Health Risk Assessment **must** be conducted.
- 5 On page 8 (Item 9) of the RFQ it says provide a list of subconsultants, which seems to conflict with the statement on page 10 (Item 2) that no subcontracting can occur. Our core personnel will not be subcontracted; however, in the event that we do need to perform a site specific human health risk assessment (a unique specialty), we would like to have the opportunity to use an affiliated professional with a PhD that we have used on other projects. Please confirm whether this will be an issue or a problem with the RFQ response.**

A Human Health Risk consultant can be outsourced, provided the expertise is not available in house.
- 6 On page 10 (Item IV) of the RFQ it asks for 2 references. May we include more than 2?**

You may include more, 2 is the minimum.
- 7 On page 7 (Item 3.0) of the Brownfields Cleanup Cooperative Agreement Work Plan, it references a Workplan Reporting Template as Attachment 1. Our RFQ package did not contain this. If it is relevant to how we respond to the RFQ (and your review), please let us know where we may obtain a copy.**

A copy has been posted to the website.

